IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHE	ERN DISTRICT O	r Georgi	U.S. DISTRICT AUGUSTA	COURT
DUE	BLIN DIVISION		AUGUSTA 2021 OCT 27	
ROY MANDELL SMITH,)		70/1 061 21	1
Plaintiff,)		CLEIGN OF SOLDIST.	of GA.
v.))) C	V 321-055		
JERMAINE WHITE, Warden; JORDAN WICKER, Deputy Warden of)			
Security; and VERONICA STEWART, Deputy Warden,)			
Defendants.)			
	O R D E R			

After a careful, *de novo* review of the file, the Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation ("R&R"), to which objections have been filed. (Doc. no. 5.) The Magistrate Judge recommended dismissing the case without prejudice because Plaintiff has three strikes under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Plaintiff argues the Magistrate Judge incorrectly assigned a strike to one of Plaintiff's previous cases "as malicious" but the District Judge "clearly dismissed that case in writing as 'without prejudice,'" and therefore, did not count as strike for maliciousness under the Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"). (Id.).

As the Magistrate Judge noted in the R&R, Plaintiff's three strikes derive from two dismissals for failure to state a claim and one dismissal as malicious for lying. (Doc. no. 3, pp. 2). It is of no consequence that the third case was dismissed without prejudice. Indeed, "an action is malicious when a prisoner misrepresents his prior litigation history on a

complaint form requiring disclosure of such history and signs the complaint under penalty of perjury, as such a complaint is an abuse of the judicial process." Burrell v. Warden I, No. 20-14304, 2021 WL 3745331, at *2 (11th Cir. 2021) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i)). Therefore, the Magistrate Judge correctly found Plaintiff has three strikes under § 1915(g).

Accordingly, the Court OVERRULES Plaintiff's objections, ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as its opinion, DENIES Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis, (doc. no. 2), DISMISSES this action without prejudice, and **CLOSES** this civil action.